REPORT FOR:

Traffic and Road Safety Advisory Panel

Date of Meeting:

2nd October 2014

Subject:

INFORMATION REPORT

Petitions relating to:

- Kingsley Road, South Harrow -Request for CPZ
- Scarsdale Road, South Harrow -Request for CPZ
- Wyvenhoe Road, South Harrow -Request for CPZ
- North Harrow Parking Review objections from Cornwall Road, Cumberland Road, Durham Road, Somerset Road, Surry Road and Westmorland Road
- North Harrow Parking Review objections from Argyle Road
- Reynolds Drive, Queensbury objecting to proposed CPZ
- 7. The Ridgeway and Imperial Drive, North Harrow request for CPZ
- 8. Turner Road, Queensbury objection to parking controls
- 9. Turner Road, Queensbury objection to parking controls
- Ruth Close and Mary Close,
 Queensbury objections to waiting restrictions

Responsible Officer:

Caroline Bruce - Corporate Director, Environment & Enterprise



Exempt: No

Wards affected: Roxeth, West Harrow, Queensbury,

Headstone North,

Enclosures: None

Section 1 – Summary and Recommendations

This report sets out details of the petitions that have been received since the last TARSAP meeting and provides details of the Council's investigations and findings where these have been undertaken.

FOR INFORMATION

Section 2 - Report

Petition 1 - Kingsley Road, South Harrow – Request for 24 hour 7 day Controlled Parking Zone (CPZ)

- 2.1 A petition containing 11 signatures from 11 addresses in Kingsley Road was received in July 2014 and was unfortunately received too late to be included in the Petitions Information report presented to the Panel in July. The petition states:
 - "We the undersigned sign this petition in support of the application to make Kingsley Road a permit holder's only road. This would mean that no person will be allowed to park on this road unless they have a valid parking permit to park. The restricted parking will be for 24 hours a day and 7 days a week."
- 2.2 Kingsley Road already forms part of the South Harrow Controlled Parking Zone which is currently operational Monday to Saturday 10-11am and 2-3pm. The request was received at the same time as petitions 2 and 3 listed in this report.
- 2.3 There are currently no parking schemes or reviews planned for this road or in the area. Currently the 2014/15 programme of work is fully committed with a considerable backlog of requests still to be added to a future programme of works in subsequent years. Therefore this matter will have to be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the panel when consideration will be given to the 2015/16 annual parking programme. The panel will be presented with a report to consider new

and existing requests for schemes, any existing commitments and to consider which are the priority schemes for the year.

Petition 2 - Scarsdale Road, South Harrow - Request for 24 hour 7 day CPZ

A letter and a petition containing 18 signatures from 18 addresses in Scarsdale Road was received in July 2014 and was unfortunately received too late to be included in the Petitions Information report presented to the Panel in July. The petition states:

"We the undersigned sign this petition in support of the application to make Scardale Road a permit holder's only road. This would mean that no person will be allowed to park on this road unless they have a valid parking permit to park. The restricted parking will be for 24 hours a day and 7 days a week."

- 2.5 The letter highlighted the lead petitioners concerns about the amount of non-resident parking taking place and the lack of enforcement that takes place against these motorists.
- 2.6 Scarsdale Road already forms part of the South Harrow Controlled Parking Zone which is currently operational Monday to Saturday 10-11am and 2-3pm. The request was received at the same time as petitions 1 and 3 listed in this report.
- 2.7 There are currently no parking schemes or reviews planned for this road or in the area. Currently the 2014/15 programme of work is fully committed with a considerable backlog of requests still to be added to a future programme of works in subsequent years. Therefore this matter will have to be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the panel when consideration will be given to the 2015/16 annual parking programme. The panel will be presented with a report to consider new and existing requests for schemes, any existing commitments and to consider which are the priority schemes for the year.

Petition 3 - Wyvenhoe Road, South Harrow - request for CPZ

- 2.8 A letter and a petition containing 36 signatures from 35 addresses in Wyvenhoe Road was received in July 2014 and was unfortunately received too late to be included in the Petitions Information report presented to the Panel in July. The petition states:
 - "We the residents of Wyvenhoe Road, South Harrow are petitioning for a CPZ Controlled Parking Zone."
- 2.9 The letter highlighted the lead petitioners concerns about the current situation in the road including damage to cars, stress, driveways being blocked, anti-social behaviour, difficulties in receiving home deliveries and tension between neighbours.
- 2.10 Wyvenhoe Road is opposite Corbins Lane and is one of the only roads off Northolt Road not within the existing South Harrow Controlled Parking

Zone which is currently operational Monday to Saturday 10-11am and 2-3pm.

2.11 There are currently no parking schemes or reviews planned for this road or in the area. Currently the 2014/15 programme of work is fully committed with a considerable backlog of requests still to be added to a future programme of works in subsequent years. Therefore this matter will have to be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the panel when consideration will be given to the 2015/16 annual parking programme. The panel will be presented with a report to consider new and existing requests for schemes, any existing commitments and to consider which are the priority schemes for the year.

Petition 4 - North Harrow - Parking Review objections to a CPZ from residents of Cornwall Road, Cumberland Road, Durham Road, Somerset Road, Surry Road and Westmorland Road.

2.12 A petition containing 165 signatures from the above listed roads was received on 24th July 2014 during the statutory consultation period the petition states:

"We the undersigned oppose the current proposal to introduce a controlled parking zone on the County roads"

2.13 The petition will be considered as part of the North Harrow parking review proposals included on the agenda for this panel meeting.

Petition 5 - Argyle Road, North Harrow – objections from residents

- 2.14 A pro forma letter signed by 54 residents of Argyle Road was received on 30th July 2014. The pro forma letter states:
 - 1. We the undersigned find the Statutory questionnaire dp 2014/07 unclear and confusing.
 - 2. Note the key question was omitted 'Do you want a CPZ?

 Choose YES / NO
 - 3. Furthermore and ONLY if a CLEAR & DEMONSTRATED MAJORITY are in favour of a CPZ We: 3.) Reject the ALL –DAY 8-18:30 proposal and require more reasonable CPZ restriction hours of 10-11 / 2-3 / 10-11 & 2-3 (choose one band)
 - 4 Consider the 'At any time' yellow line waiting restriction unnecessary and punitive
 - 5. Note that the proposed 'Residents bays are only on *one* side of the road. This will simply make the 'Rat Running' even worse.
- 2.15 The petition will be considered as part of the North Harrow parking review proposals report included on the agenda for this panel meeting.

Petition 6 - Reynolds Drive, Queensbury – objecting to proposed scheme and propose one hour restriction.

2.16 A petition containing 79 signatures from residents in Reynolds Drive was received on 12th August 2014, the petition states:

"We do not have a problem with parking. We object to the proposed parking at Reynolds Drive with controlled parking zone from Monday to Sunday. We would propose to have a one hour restriction between 11am – 12 noon, Monday to Friday & keep the existing marked bays"

2.17 The petition will be considered as part of the Queensbury parking review proposals report included on the agenda for this panel meeting.

Petition 7 - The Ridgeway and Imperial Drive, North Harrow – Requesting the Council to provide a residents only parking CPZ

2.18 A petition containing 21 signatures from residents of the Ridgeway and Imperial Drive was received on 29th July 2014, the petition states:

"We the undersigned, petition the London Borough of Harrow to consider the wishes of the residents of the above location, who wish to alleviate the problems resulting from parking, by non residents, on The Ridgeway, North Harrow.....". To this end we would ask Council to provide Residents only parking in the areas described below.

- 2.19 Proposals for this road were originally considered as a part of the North Harrow CPZ scheme. A public consultation was undertaken earlier in the year and the results presented to this panel in February 2014. The outcome of the consultation for these roads was that no overall support for any parking controls was demonstrated. This matter would therefore need to be considered as a new request.
- 2.20 Currently the 2014/15 programme of work is fully committed with a considerable backlog of requests still to be added to a future programme of works in subsequent years. Therefore this matter will have to be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the panel when consideration will be given to the 2015/16 annual parking programme. The panel will be presented with a report to consider new and existing requests for schemes, any existing commitments and to consider which are the priority schemes for the year.

Petition 8 - Turner Road, Queensbury – objection to Monday – Friday parking controls.

2.21 A petition containing 16 signatures from residents of Turner Road in the proposed Queensbury CPZ area was received on 26th August 2014, the petition states:

"We the undersigned object on the basis we will be materially affected by the financial obligation to purchase parking permits in order to park in our own road and those of our visitors. In addition there will not be sufficient

- bays to accommodate all residents. Objection to Monday to Friday parking"
- 2.22 The petition will be considered as part of the Queensbury parking review proposals report included on the agenda for this panel meeting.
 - Petition 9 Turner Road, Queensbury objection to Saturday and Sunday parking controls.
- 2.23 A petition containing 16 signatures from residents of Turner Road in the proposed Queensbury CPZ area was received on 26th August 2014, the petition states:
 - "We the undersigned object on the basis we will be materially affected by the financial obligation to purchase parking permits in order to park in our own road and those of our visitors. In addition there will not be sufficient bays to accommodate all residents. Objection to Monday to Friday parking"
- 2.24 The petition will be considered as part of the Queensbury parking review proposals included on the agenda for this panel meeting.
 - Petition 10 Ruth Close and Mary Close, Queensbury objection to single and double yellow lines.
- 2.25 A letter was received on 29 August containing 31 signatures from the residents of Ruth Close objecting to the proposals for single and double yellow lines in the road. The letter states
 - "....All the residents of Ruth Close have signed a petition, in objection of single and double yellow lines to be put in on Mary and Ruth Close...."
- 2.26 The letter will be considered as part of the Queensbury parking review proposals included on the agenda for this panel meeting.

Section 3 – Further Information

3.1. The purpose of this report is to inform the Panel about any new petitions received since the last meeting. No updates on the progress made with previous petitions will be reported at future meetings as officers will liaise with the Chair of TARSAP and the Portfolio Holder directly regarding any updates.

Section 4 – Financial Implications

4.1. There are no direct financial implications. Any suggested measures in the report that require further investigation would be taken forward using existing resources and funding.

Section 5 - Equalities implications

- 5.1 Was an Equality Impact Assessment carried out? No.
- The petitions raise issues about existing schemes in the traffic and transportation works programme as well as new areas for investigation. The officer's response indicates a suggested way forward in each case. An equality impact assessment (EqIA) will be carried out in accordance with the revised guidance issued in January 2014 if members subsequently decide that officers should develop detailed schemes or proposals to address any of the concerns raised in the petitions.

Section 6 – Corporate Priorities

- 6.1 The funds allocated by TfL and Harrow for transport improvements will contribute to achieving the corporate priorities:
 - Making a difference for the vulnerable
 - Making a difference for communities
 - Making a difference for local businesses
 - · Making a difference for families

Section 7 - Statutory Officer Clearance

Name: Jessie Man	on behalf of the ✓ Chief Financial Office	er
Date: 17/09/14		

Section 8 - Contact Details and Background Papers

Contact:

Barry Philips

Tel: 020 8424 1437, Fax: 020 8424 7662, E-mail: barry.philips@harrow.gov.uk

Background Papers:

Previous TARSAP reports
Public and Statutory Consultation Results